

LETTER FROM THE EDITOR ◄»



Kelly John Walker, M.S. | Editor-in-Chief

How has it come to this?

Our nation grows more dangerous by the day. In the eyes of radical leftists, speech is violence and violence is speech. That's the Antifa mentality—label your opponents "fascists," and suddenly stopping them "by any means possible" seems justified.

Evelyn Beatrice Hall captured a truth from Voltaire's defense of liberty: "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it." Today, that conviction—once the moral backbone of free societies—is treated as a threat by those who fear dissent. Instead, it has become, "I disapprove of what you say, and I will put you to death for saying it."

freedom of speech is taken away, then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep to the slaughter." Benjamin Franklin wrote in 1722, "Whoever would overthrow the liberty of a nation must begin subduing the freeness of speech."

And that is precisely what modern totalitarians seek. They shame, intimidate, and shout down dissenters—and when that fails, they turn to violence. Their goal is to overthrow our government of, for, and by the People under the false pretext of saving humanity. Yet the utopian dreams Marxists always end in democide, famine, and suffering.

After publishing The Satanic Verses in 1988, Salman Rushdie became the target of an worldwide campaign of threats and violence.

Iran's Ayatollah Khomeini issued a fatwa calling for his death, forcing him into years of hiding. Bookstores were translators and publishers were attacked or killed, and in 2022 he was stabbed onstage in New York—proof that decades later, the attempt to silence him endures.

It's no surprise that communists and Islamists have become strange bedfellows —they share the view that freedom stands in the way of their dreams of "paradise." In their upside-down world, the cardinal sin is to offend someone else (unless you're on their side).

"What is freedom of expression?" asked George Washington warned in 1783, "If Rushdie. "Without the freedom to offend, it ceases to exist." He added, "Nobody has the right to not be offended. That right doesn't exist in any declaration I have ever read."

> The so-called "right" to be free from offense is intrinsically tyrannical because it places responsibility for one's emotions on others, turning the offended into arbiters of truth. Once, children were taught that "sticks and stones may break my bones, but words can never hurt me." Today they're told that hurtful words justify violence—or even murder—to silence another.

> "Free societies are societies in motion, and with motion comes tension, friction," Rushdie said. "Free people strike sparks, and those sparks are the best evidence of freedom's existence."

Free societies thrive on debate. Instead of teaching young people to judge others by the color of their skin, we should teach them to develop thick skins.

Cowering to political correctness has emboldened the feelings police to use fear and intimidation. In essence, we have all become Salman Rushdi, Charlie Kirk, Dietrich Bonhoeffer, Sophie Scholl. Refusing to stay silent may cost us jobs or even our lives—but surrendering our voices would cost us our souls.

"Silence is the real crime. To speak one's mind is not," said Rushdie, echoing the spirit of Bonhoeffer's famous line: "Silence in the face of evil is itself evil. Not to speak is to speak. Not to act is to act."

Sophie Scholl, executed for resisting Hitler, wrote: "Somebody, after all, had to make a start. What we wrote and said is also believed by many others. They just don't dare express themselves as we did."

Those who compromise freedom for comfort endanger us all. "The real damage is done by those millions who want to 'survive'... those who don't like to make waves—or enemies. Those for whom freedom, honour, truth, and principles are only literature."

Washington's warning remains prophetic: "The time is near at hand which must determine whether Americans are to be free men or slaves."


Do you dare to speak the truth—or will you be intimidated into silence and servitude? My choice is, as Patrick Henry declared, "Give me liberty, or give me death."

Loki: "I come with glad tidings, of a world made free."

Fury: "Free from what?"

Loki: "Freedom. Freedom is life's great lie."

Fury: "Yeah, you say 'peace.' I kind of think you mean the other thing."



ARCTIC FROST & THE SMARTMATIC LAWFARE AGAINST MIKE LINDELL: AN ATTACK ON AMERICA

Smartmatic and its allies—political operatives Lindell has vowed to and state-level collaborators—are waging a settlements that would silence him. He coordinated campaign of "lawfare" against insists the case is not about personal Mike Lindell. This is no ordinary litigation. As damages but about whether Americans can China's Unrestricted Warfare explains, lawsuits, audits, and financial destroyed through lawfare. chokeholds can be weapons of war.

to have ties to the CCP—has overseen a state and ordinary citizens would risk bankruptcy if joined the apparatus that compounding federal and corporate pressure. tied to the electoral process. declassified Arctic Frost According to findings, these combined efforts amount to a defenders claim. In August 2024, company systematic legal and financial siege against president Roger Piñate was charged by the Lindell.

battlefield. The very courts that should funneling \$1 million to Philippine election safeguard liberty are being twisted into tools chief Andres Bautista. of intimidation. America's reliance on the rule of law is exploited as a weakness, turning offshore ownership and relies on closedcitizens' rights into weapons against them.

Lindell is not simply defending himself—he stands on the front lines. Smartmatic's accused of "reconfiguring" 78,000 Dominion defamation lawsuit accuses him of falsely machines in the Philippines later emerged in claiming that its systems were used to rig Dallas County, Texas, where he was hired to elections.

whether Lindell acted with "actual malice," documents show Lindell the legal threshold Smartmatic must prove to multipronged attack. win damages.

appeal, rejecting handbook question election contractors without being

If Smartmatic prevails, the chilling effect In Minnesota, Governor Tim Walz—alleged will be devastating: journalists, watchdogs, assault, they dared to question powerful corporations

Smartmatic is not the neutral contractor its U.S. Department of Justice with worldwide Lawfare converts our legal system into a bribery and money laundering, accused of

> The company is structured through opaque source systems that lack transparency.

Even more alarming, a Smartmatic engineer elections. Such oversee facts A federal judge in Minnesota identified 51 contradict the claim that Smartmatic is defamatory statements but left unresolved beyond reproach. Declassified Arctic Frost has

Alongside the Smartmatic lawsuit, he has endured DOJ subpoenas and seizures, five IRS audits, and the withdrawal of his American Express credit line. Each action might appear unrelated, but together they form a deliberate strategy to strangle him financially and silence him publicly.

At the state level, Minnesota officials refused to allow audits of contested elections in certain counties. Meanwhile, Attorney General Keith Ellison opened investigations into Lindell's nonprofits, demanding disclosures Lindell's team believes are politically motivated and designed to weaken him further.

This is not just about Mike Lindell. If lawfare succeeds here, it sets a precedent that anyone who questions corporate contractors or government-linked entities can be annihilated through lawsuits, regulatory harassment, and financial chokeholds. Transparency in elections will be further undermined, and free citizens will





forced into silence. Mike Lindell's legal battle is not merely personal—it is a test of whether Americans still have the right to dissent. If lawfare goes unchecked, questioning the system becomes defamation, and truth-tellers will be punished with ruin. But if resisted, this case can mark a turning point: a reminder that the rule of law must not be perverted into a weapon of tyranny. Lindell's fight is America's fight.





THE DECLINE OF OUR CULTURAL FOUNDATION

A CALL TO RESTORATION By Sam Sorbo

The United States, once anchored in the These alternate worldviews are in direct Judeo-Christian principles of human contradiction with the Christian ethos of dignity, mutual respect, and reverence for neighborly love and justice. life, faces a profound cultural decline. The Crime statistics reflect the consequences: (Matthew 7:12), threatens the very soul of ranging from drug trafficking to murder. our nation. Two primary forces drive this Tragic cases, like the murder of 22-yeardecay: introducing incompatible worldviews and underscore the human cost of lax policies. an education system that dismisses the Other nations also offer lessons. Japan, exacerbated by a growing cultural disdain through strict immigration controls. deliberate action, faith, and renewed and foundation that made exceptional.

reportedly reached 14 million, a 3.5 million achievable. tribal loyalty or relativism over individual our culture itself. liberty and absolute truth.

erosion of these values, rooted in the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Bible's teachings such as "do unto others reported thousands of arrests of criminal as you would have them do unto you" noncitizens in 2024, with convictions

uncontrolled immigration old Laken Riley by an illegal immigrant,

Bible's moral authority. Compounding this with fewer than 1% foreign-born crisis is a plummeting birth rate, residents, maintains cultural cohesion

for children. "Celebrate your abortion," Europe, with unauthorized immigrants at was a mantra of the left recently, as only 1% of the population compared to 3% government funds Planned Parenthood in the U.S., saw crime spikes after liberal and the abortion pill eclipses the surgical policies in 2015. Currently, Europe boasts usage. Yet, through over 14% of its population as immigrant, often accommodates violent commitment, we can restore the demonstrations and 'no-go zones,' where America local law enforcement dare not tread. Recent U.S. efforts, including over 2 Unrestrained immigration has reshaped million deportations in under 250 days and the nation's cultural landscape. By 2023, a precipitous decline of border crossings, the unauthorized immigrant population demonstrate that secure borders are

increase in just two years—and those are Plugging the leaks is essential to just the ones we know about. This influx preserving our cultural identity. Dilution of brings clashing worldviews, prioritizing our cultural heritage spells the death of

which undermines the Bible's role as the moral with a baby." bedrock of Western civilization. The from being created in God's image (Genesis 1:26), was replaced with secular ideologies in our schools long ago.

For decades, evolution was taught as unchallenged fact, while creationism was sidelined entirely. This fostered the prevailing worldview that devalues life.

Moral relativism, prioritizing personal "truth" over absolute truth, justifies practices contrary to biblical teachings, from abortion to gender redefinition and body mutilation. This shift produces generations disconnected from the virtues of charity, responsibility, and respect for authority - generations detached from truth itself. The rise in teen suicide, rampant prescription use, and erratic moral confusion reflects this void. Parents must counter this by actively countering the schools' cultural lessons.

They can be effective simply by teaching biblical principles at home or through homeschooling, ensuring children grow grounded in the values that sustain a just society.

The truth liberates a nation, but our nation is currently lying to itself.

The declining birth rate further signals cultural retreat. In 2024, the U.S. fertility rate fell to 1.6 children per woman, well below the 2.1 needed for population stability. Globally, the rate dropped to 2.2 from 5 in the 1960s.

A troubling cultural narrative fuels this decline, with social media amplifying sentiments that children are burdens.

Equally alarming is the state of public President Obama expressed that he systematically wouldn't want his daughter "burdened

A viral 2025 post, garnering millions of principle of human life as sacred, derived views, dismissed babies as "annoying" disruptions to personal freedom. This reflects a broader rejection of the biblical mandate to "be fruitful and multiply" (Genesis 1:28), viewing children obstacles rather than blessings. Contrast this with Hungary, where pro-family policies like tax breaks for large families boosted birth rates by 20% since 2010. The U.S. could emulate such incentives, prioritizing families over fleeting individualism, and President Trump has made an initial move with the child savings starter account.

> The tremendous cultural erosion we are witnessing, which is driven incompatible worldviews, education, and a rejection of life, is a spiritual crisis. Yet, it is not irreversible. Families can reclaim their role as the primary educators, instilling biblical values that honor life and community. Communities can advocate for policies that secure borders and support families, ensuring a culture that reflects our founding principles. Believers must lead with courage, modeling the sacrificial love of Christ. Scripture urges us all to "Seek first the kingdom of God" (Matthew 6:33). By prioritizing faith, family, and nation, we can rebuild a culture that values every life, upholds truth, and secures a future for generations to come. The task is urgent, but with resolve and divine guidance, restoration is within reach.

Ultimate Censorship Makes Charlie Kirk Immortal

By Joe Hoft

These are historic times. The story of He taught the beauty of America, the President Trump will be told for generations. Like Romeo and Juliet, the story of his rise, fall, and rise again will last forever—the billionaire with a beautiful family, stunning wife, and love of country so great he risked it all to save it.

Trump began his first administration surrounded by wolves. The Deep State thought he would fold under pressure, as Nixon did after his victory. But he fought, and fought again. They tried to take everything—his elections, his fortune, his freedom, even his life. On July 13, 2024, after narrowly surviving an assassin's bullet, Trump stood bloodied and urged us to "fight, fight, fight."

He went on to win another election, larger than before, aided by countless Americans. One who stood out was Charlie Kirk.

Charlie, lanky and tall, was only 31—a husband, father of two, and entrepreneur. At 18 he began building a platform to teach young Americans the goodness of America and the love of Jesus Christ. His dedication, strength, and intellect created not just a platform but a movement—a pattern for righteous living.

wisdom of the Founders, and the love of God in its creation. He stood firm against opposition and argued in a loving, bold manner.

Charlie's problem was that he was too effective. We know what happens when the truth hits hard: censorship, shadow bans, slander, advertisers stripped away. But with Charlie, the left saw something more. He was a force, a healer, a teacher. To them, he had to be stopped—and an assassin's bullet was their answer.

What they never counted on was that Charlie's death made him immortal. His love of country and faith now fortify the movement he built.

This was clear at his memorial service—one of the greatest moments in U.S. history. Charlie, the young husband, father, preacher, entrepreneur, and patriot, was honored not only by his community but by the greatest men of the greatest nation on earth.

We learned that with Christ, a young man has no limits. We learned again that God is great—and that Charlie Kirk is immortal.

God bless Charlie Kirk.



BE PART OF BECOMING THE BIGGEST VOICE EVER!

LindelITV is now publicly-traded.







CELEBRATE THIS CHRISTMAS WITH YOUR MIND ON CHRIST'S MISSION AND YOUR HEART FILLED WITH HOPE IN HIM

Tetelestai (it is finished/paid in full) was Christ's final word on the cross. That word still echos today, reminding us of our freedom as servants to the most high.

Preorder the bundle now and get:

- Our limited edition 'Tetelestai' ornament
- 5 matching Christmas cards
- Our most popular Christmas movie, The Santa Suit
- and a wholesome 40 day devotional for this Christmas season.

MERRY CHRISTMAS

The Sorbo Family



PARTNER WITH **FREEDOMTALK MAGAZINE & REACH MILLIONS** SORBO Magazine Uplifting Films That Inspire **Each Issue reaches:** TAKE BACK YOUR CHILD'S EDUCATION HEEP'S ning parental rights. Defending educational Championing parental rights, betending educational freedom, Exposing government overreach, Founded by Sam Sorbo, They're YOUR kids Foundation empower families to reclaim control through homeschooling, advocacy, and truth. Check out SamSorbo.com for 2 M+ Email Addresses UNJECTED • 4-7 M Views/mo. on over 40 Podcasts, news shows Exposure on multiple Social Media accounts WEAPONIZAT STAND UP oping ideas, telling t 50% of our ad rev

Sponsored Content • Issue Sponsorship

ADS INCLUDE

• Placement in monthly issue

Full Page • Half Page • 1/4 Page • 1/8 Page

- FREE one-on-one brand development guidance
- FREE press release promoting your business (for half-page, full-page ads)
- Monthly networking call with show hosts, influencers, show booking agents
- Discounted ad design services available

Advertise with us: Email RealFreedomTalk@gmail.com

PERSECUTED PARENTS NEED OUR HELP! TinyURL.com/PDJAgive





WHEN TERRORISM TARGETS FREE SPEECH ■»



By Tamara Leigh

First Amendment: "Congress shall make no law... abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble..."

Terrorism: The unlawful use of violence and intimidation, especially against civilians, in pursuit of political aims.

On September 10, 2025, America witnessed the unthinkable—the assassination of one of its most influential free-speech advocates, Charlie Kirk. His death, broadcast instantly across social media, sent shockwaves through a nation already divided. Yet what followed was equally chilling: an outpouring of online hatred celebrating the murder of a man devoted to dialogue, faith, and liberty.

Charlie founded Turning Point USA at just 18 years old and became a voice of reason in a culture of outrage—a modern Gandhi of civil discourse. Like so many before him who dared to challenge entrenched power, he paid the ultimate price. Not since the bloody spectacle of President John F. Kennedy's assassination has America seen such a politically



charged act of violence. For decades, the right to free expression withstood wars, social upheaval, and cultural revolutions.

From the Alien and Sedition Acts to Vietnam-era protests, the courts defended even offensive or unpopular speech. But that resilience began to crumble with the rise of Donald J. Trump.

The "age of Trump" marked a seismic cultural shift: free expression—long considered a birthright became conditional. Those who questioned the prevailing narrative found themselves silenced, censored, deplatformed, or worse. Speaking truth in the public square now carries personal risk.

What we are witnessing is not random violence but a coordinated pattern of political intimidation. The methods differ—doxing, lawfare, FBI raids, online bans-but the intent is uniform: to punish dissent and control speech.

·2019: Antifa threatened acid attacks at David Sumrall's "Demand Free Speech" rally in D.C.; journalist Andy Ngo was hospitalized after a brutal beating in Portland.

·2020: The "Summer of Love" riots erupted, and Kyle Rittenhouse's act of self-defense became a national crime story.

·2021–2025: Over a thousand January 6 protesters were hunted and imprisoned, while embedded federal agents escaped accountability.

·2022: Canadian truckers in the "Freedom Convoy" were debanked and silenced.

·2023-2025: Censorship expanded worldwide—from Australia's COVID restrictions to Brazil's political purges and Britain's digital ID controls.

These are not isolated incidents—they form a global web of suppression stretching Washington to Westminster. Together they mark the transformation of "free speech" from a sacred right into a privilege rationed by those in power.

Our Founders foresaw such tyranny. As the Declaration of Independence warns, when "a long train of abuses and usurpations" reveals a design of absolute despotism, it is the duty of the people to resist and restore liberty.

The assault on speech is not just an attack on political opponents—it is an attack on the very essence of America. If truth itself becomes terrorism, then silence becomes complicity. The time to speak freely—and fearlessly—is now. 11



The truth about January 6 must be passed on—by those who lived it. *The American Gulag Chronicles* is a powerful three-volume collection of letters, art, and firsthand accounts from J6 political prisoners and their families. Own it now.

LettersFromPrison.us

\$106.21



AmericanGulagChronicles.com



All proceeds support the nonprofit dedicated to educating, preserving, and defending January 6th evidence, testimonies, and patriots.

RECLAIMING "SOCIAL JUSTICE"

RETURNING TO OUR JUDEO-CHRISTIAN ROOTS

True social justice is not a modern invention—it is a moral principle that reaches back thousands of years into the soil of the Judeo-Christian tradition. Long before the term "social justice" was coopted by political movements or online virtue-signalers, the prophets of Israel and the teachings of Christ called humanity to righteousness, compassion, and moral responsibility grounded in divine law.

century B.C., thundered these timeless words:

before My eyes. Cease to do evil. Learn to from the Hebrew Scriptures. good; seek justice, rebuke the do oppressor; defend the fatherless, plead for the widow."

This passage, echoed across the Old and New Testaments, reveals that justice was never about slogans or self-promotion—it was about obedience to God and mercy toward others. It is here that the term "Judeo-Christian" finds its meaning.

WHY "JUDEO-CHRISTIAN?"

We use the term Judeo-Christian because Christianity is not a detached replacement faith—it is the flower of a Jewish root. Jesus Himself was a Jew, The prophet Isaiah, writing in the 8th raised under the Torah, who declared that He came not to abolish the Law but to fulfill it. The moral vision of Christianity— "Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; its insistence on human dignity, charity, put away the evil of your doings from and equality before God-flows directly

> The Ten Commandments, the Law of Moses, and the words of the prophets gave Western civilization its moral compass.

Concepts like sanctity of life, honesty in business, protection of the poor, and the inherent worth of every person all trace their lineage to this shared heritage. To speak of a "Judeo-Christian" ethic, then, is to recognize that the moral foundations of the West were laid by Israel's covenant with God and expanded through Christ's gospel of grace and truth.

When modern culture forgets this root, it severs itself from the very tree that produced its moral fruit. As the Apostle Paul warned, "Do not boast against the branches... you do not support the root, but the root supports you." Without that root, "social justice" becomes detached sentiment—driven by emotion, ideology, or narcissism rather than righteousness.

TRUE SOCIAL JUSTICE VS. COUNTERFEIT JUSTICE

The Bible's concept of justice is personal and practical. It begins with humility before God and flows outward in mercy toward others. Jesus captured this perfectly in His teaching: "Be careful not to do your good deeds publicly, to be admired by others. When you give to the needy, do not sound a trumpet before you... But when you give, do not let your left hand know what your right hand is doing, so that your giving may be in secret; and your Father, who sees what is done in secret, will reward you."

This is the essence of true social justice—quiet, selfless love motivated by reverence for God, not applause from the crowd. It stands in stark contrast to the modern "woke" parody of justice, which replaces moral accountability with public display and substitutes virtue with visibility. Where biblical justice seeks to lift up the oppressed, modern pseudo-justice seeks to weaponize victimhood for power. Isaiah 58 calls believers to go beyond performative

piety—to speak truth boldly and to act courageously against real oppression:

"Cry aloud, spare not; lift up your voice like a trumpet, and show My people their transgression... Is this not the fast that I have chosen: to loose the bonds of wickedness, to undo the heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed go free, and that you break every yoke?"

This divine charge reminds us that silence in the face of injustice is not righteousness. God's idea of "fasting" is not self-denial for appearances but moral action—freeing the enslaved, defending the voiceless, and confronting corruption with courage.

THE WESTERN LEGACY OF THE JUDEO-CHRISTIAN ETHIC

The Western world's ideas of liberty, equality, and compassion all flow from the Judeo-Christian worldview. From the abolition of slavery to the defense of human rights, those who transformed society for the better—William Wilberforce, Martin Luther King Jr., and countless others—were motivated by faith rooted in Scripture. They understood justice not as redistribution but as restoration: the alignment of human conduct with divine order.

Our task today is to remember what they knew—that real social justice begins not in protest but in repentance, not in hashtags but in holiness. It is found in the ancient call: "Do justice, love mercy, and walk humbly with your God."

The Judeo-Christian foundation has withstood empires and ideologies because it is not built on human opinion but on eternal truth. When we defend that foundation, we defend the moral architecture of civilization itself. And when we heed Isaiah's call to "loose the chains of injustice" and "let the oppressed go free," we do more than protest evil—we participate in the timeless work of divine justice.

A Harbinger Of Things To Come:

The question has been posed: "Was the In response to Charlie's conclusion, I made the assassination of Charlie Kirk a grim harbinger prediction in my article that Charlie's "call for of things to come?" In August of 2023, I wrote conservatives to use the same unlawful tactics an article opposing the premise of one written by Charlie Kirk for The Federalist wherein assuredly escalate into actual violence."[iii] Charlie made the argument: "When politicians are tempted to prosecute their political illegal to prosecute people for "political enemies, for political reasons, they must fear the same thing happening to them. Right now, the left does not have that fear, because initiate a legal action without probable cause conservatives have sat idle, refusing to act. That must change."[i]

Charlie concluded his article by explaining what he meant by the term "must change": "Send out subpoenas, demand records, fish constantly for anything remotely questionable. 'Investigate first, define the crimes later' should be the order of the day. And for even the most minor of offenses, the rule should be: no charity, no goodwill, no mercy. After all, it's what Democrats have practiced the past seven years."[ii]



against the political opposition will most

I called them "unlawful tactics" because it is reasons." In the law, we refer to this as "malicious prosecution," which means and with malicious intent in order to cause harm. Advocating for Republicans to "fish constantly" and "define the crimes later" clearly means that there would be no probable cause for such a legal action. Also, the calling for "no charity, no goodwill, no mercy" is a call to inflict harm.

I went on to explain in my article why I expected "actual violence" to "escalate": "We find ourselves in this dangerous situation for a number of reasons—a lack of understanding being foremost. Charlie along with the rest of the conservative crowd was lacking in the proper knowledge given to us by the Framers. Adopting the left's tactics will only exacerbate the very problem that Madison warned will come from democracies.

Democracies tend toward chaos; they will always become "spectacles of turbulence and contention" that inevitably result in a clash between political parties.[iv]



The true Republican Form of Government that the Framers gave us, on the other hand, was fully equipped to "break and control the violence of faction." Madison went on to explain that democracies cannot do the same and that this was a big "advantage which a republic has over a democracy...controlling the effects of faction."[v]

I concluded my article with the following: "I've been writing about the problems with democracies and the bastardization of our Republic into a democratically consolidated hybrid for years. The solution is not to attack the left as Charlie is suggesting since it will lead to violence, which in turn will lead to totalitarian government."[vi]

Since I made that prediction at the end of 2023, violence has escalated but even more so since Charlie's horrific, violent assassination. One article even pointed out that during the "329 Hours" following September 10th, there was a violent "attack every 54 hours, 50 minutes."[vii]

Tragically, there have been more attacks since that time including, as of the last 24 hours of writing this article, a mass shooting in North Carolina and an attack on an LDS Church in Michigan where multiple victims were killed, including a child being shot in the back, and the building was set on fire with IEDs.

Unless we restore our true Republican Form of Government as required under our U.S. Constitution under Article IV, Section 4, we will, as Madison predicted, die a violent death under our current democracy as the clashes will continue to escalate—"democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths."[viii]

Madame Publius



[i] <u>Body</u> [ii] Id. [iii] <u>Body</u> [iv] Madison, The Federalist Papers, Ltr. 10, ¶13. [v] Id. at ¶21. [vi] <u>Body</u> [vii] <u>Body</u> [viii] Madison, The Federalist Papers, Ltr. 10, ¶13.

1 24se Still Believe in Good & Evil? By Kelly J. Walker, M.S.

presses itself upon us: Do we still believe in good & evil?

In the modern West, the once-universal concepts of good and evil—of moral right and wrong—have been cast aside in favor of subjective "truths." What used to be moral clarity is now moral confusion. Our laws, once rooted in a Judeo-Christian understanding of justice, now bend under the weight of ideology. Even the moral foundation of our libertyanchored in Scripture and enshrined in the Declaration of Independence—is denounced as "patriarchal" or "privileged." But when truth becomes relative, tyranny fills the vacuum.

Before "social justice" became a weaponized slogan, justice itself was seen as an extension of Divine law. Ancient Israel understood this: the Law existed to restrain evil and reward good. Humanity, having tasted the knowledge of good and evil, required moral boundaries to survive. But law alone could not save us. As Declaration signer Benjamin Rush wrote, "If moral precepts alone could have reformed mankind, the mission of the Son of God into all the world would have been unnecessary."

confronted that truth. He declared that morality was not merely external—it was internal. "You have heard it said, do not commit adultery," He said. "But I tell you that anyone who looks at a woman with lust has already committed adultery in his heart." He exposed the hypocrisy of outward righteousness and taught that evil begins in the imagination -an ungoverned desire, a proud thought, a selfish intent.

Contrast that with today's ethos: "If it feels good, do it." Lust, greed, and cruelty have been rebranded as personal freedom. Pornography is celebrated as empowerment. Violence is excused as "protest." And censorship, once the hallmark of totalitarian regimes, is rationalized as "safety" and "misinformation control." The logic of moral relativism that there is no ultimate right or wrong-inevitably ends in chaos. As the prophet Isaiah warned, "Woe to those who call evil good, and good evil; who put darkness for light, and light for darkness." When a culture loses its moral compass, it begins to persecute those who still possess one.

As our nation grapples with censorship, political intimidation, Political violence, once unthinkable in civilized discourse, is and the slow death of honest discourse, a haunting question—now normalized by those who claim to fight for "justice." The same crowd that demands tolerance is often the first to cancel, silence, or assault anyone who dares to dissent. What begins as censorship ends in persecution. What begins as "de-platforming" ends in dehumanization.

> Evil, at its root, is self-worship—it is the way of "get." It demands its own happiness, regardless of the cost to others. Love, on the other hand, is the way of "give." It seeks the good of others, even at one's own expense. The Apostle Paul defined it perfectly:

> "Love suffers long and is kind; love does not envy; love does not parade itself, is not puffed up... it does not seek its own... it rejoices in the truth."

> Love, then, is the true opposite of evil-not neutrality, not tolerance, but truth expressed through sacrifice. A parent who leaps in front of a car to save their child acts out the highest good; the parent who aborts their own child for convenience manifests evil in its purest form.

When society worships self over sacrifice, it cannot remain free. The Founders understood this. "Human rights can only Two thousand years ago, a carpenter from Nazareth be assured among a virtuous people," wrote George Washington. "The general government can never be in danger of degenerating into any despotic or oppressive form so long as there is any virtue in the body of the people."

> The erosion of free speech and the rise of political violence are not political problems-they are moral ones. They flow from a culture that no longer distinguishes good from evil, right from wrong, truth from propaganda. The solution will not come from new policies, algorithms, or party victories—it will come from moral awakening.

> We must once again have the courage to name evil as evil -and good as good. We must reject the cowardice of silence and the false security of neutrality. The battle for free speech and for peace begins in the same place: in the human heart.

> If America is to remain free, we must return to the ancient wisdom that built her-that justice flows from truth, and truth flows from God. Without that, "political violence" is only the symptom; the real disease is moral decay. 17


PARENTS LABELED 'DOMESTIC TERRORISTS'

ARE PUSHING BACK By Rhonda Thomas, TIE Founder

In September 2021, the National School Boards Association (NSBA) sent a letter to the White House describing parent protests at school board meetings as potential "domestic terrorism," even suggesting the Patriot Act could apply. The language was extreme, equating parents who questioned mask mandates, gender policies, or curriculum with violent extremists.

Just five days later, on October 4, 2021, Attorney General Merrick Garland issued a memorandum directing the Department of Justice, the FBI, and U.S. Attorneys to coordinate with local law enforcement on "threats" against school officials. Although Garland said it targeted only "violence and intimidation," its timing—immediately following the NSBA letter—sent a chilling message. Many districts took it as license to retaliate against outspoken parents.

Congressional oversight later revealed the FBI created a "threat tag," EDUOFFICIALS, to track school-board matters nationwide. Dozens of investigations were opened under that tag, fueling criticism that federal resources were used to monitor constitutionally protected speech. While the DOJ denied labeling parents "domestic terrorists," the tag itself implied



Whatever the intent, the impact was alarming. Parents were cuffed, trespassed, taken to court, or publicly shamed. Districts adopted restrictive policies, and local prosecutors pursued dubious cases while ignoring genuine failures. The message was clear: Sit down and be quiet.

THE COST TO FAMILIES

Behind the policy debate are families who bore the brunt of federal suspicion. Arizona father Kelly John Walker faced misdemeanor convictions after a contentious meeting with school officials—his real "offense," supporters say, was challenging authority. Others describe reputational harm, job loss, intimidation, and crushing legal costs simply for speaking up.

These stories reveal that the issue was never about "keeping meetings safe." It was about silencing dissent and discouraging parents from exercising their rights.

A COALITION FORMS

In response, Kelly Walker and Sam Sorbo founded the Parents Demanding Justice Alliance (PDJA) to highlight parents unfairly targeted. PDJA soon became a national hub, connecting families who had faced arrests, legal battles, or intimidation. Partnering with Truth In Education (TIE), They're YOUR Kids Foundation (TYK), and other allies, PDJA reframed parents as advocates for accountability, not adversaries of education.

VOICES ON CAPITOL HILL

On July 15, 2025, PDJA, TIE, and partner groups hosted the Vindicating Parents Press Conference on Capitol Hill. The event drew national attention, giving parents a voice at last.

Their remarks humanized the debate. Parents were not "angry mobs," but mothers and fathers exercising the First Amendment. Next Page>

TAU COMING!

A COURSE CORRECTION: THE BONDI MEMO

On September 8, 2025, Attorney General Pamela Bondi issued a memorandum instructing the DOJ, FBI, and U.S. Attorneys to protect parental authority and uphold constitutional rights in education. The memo directs vigilance against violations of parents' free speech, free exercise, and due process rights—and urges action on credible threats against parents.

Analysts note two major shifts: (1) scrutiny of officials who hinder parental rights, and (2) recognition that parents, not bureaucrats, have been the real victims of intimidation. Investigations are underway, and for the first time since 2021, parents have reason to hope their grievances will be addressed.

WHY THIS MATTERS

Government overreach invites abuse. Once a "threat" framework is normalized, districts can weaponize it to silence dissent. The EDUOFFICIALS tag showed how quickly an extraordinary measure can become routine. Accountability—whether administrative, civil, or electoral—is essential to prevent repetition.

Parents do not forfeit their First Amendment rights at school board meetings. Passionate criticism of officials is protected speech. When institutions criminalize dissent, they undermine the Bill of Rights itself.

The Biden administration's decision to treat parent protests as a security issue left deep scars—but the growing movement behind PDJA and its allies proves parents will not be intimidated into silence.

As the Bondi investigations unfold, one truth remains: America's parents are not threats to the system—they are its lifeblood.



"Parents are the single most important factor in a child's academic success."

-Sam Sorbo, PDJA Co-Founder

"We are not criminals for caring about our children. We are citizens standing up for our God-given rights." -Kelly John Walker, PDJA Co-Founder

"Truth is not a crime. And parenting is not terrorism." -Rhonda Thomas, TIE Founder

LAWFARE & THE WAR ON FREE SPEECH

When Chinese colonels Qiao Liang and Wang From Beijing to DC: The Export of Suppression conflicts would no longer be confined to and force or non-armed force, military and non- ruling class loses its moral cover. military, and lethal and non-lethal means to That is why the CCP applauds when Western

domain—is visible today on American soil. The citizens questioning Chinese Communist Party (CCP) has a strategic "extremists." These tactics mirror the CCP's own interest in silencing American free speech domestic strategy: because a nation that cannot speak freely cannot through propaganda, then neutralize resist tyranny.

The New Battlefield: Words, Laws, and **Narratives**

generations, Americans Warfare entirely. "A single man-made stock-market enemies—they the ranks of new-concept weapons," the colonels crime of speaking. wrote.

weapon—and the free exchange of information than to make truth itself punishable? becomes a threat. Beijing's global ambitions themselves.

This is lawfare—war by legal means—waged in in the wars of the future." tandem with information warfare. It cloaks "combating disinformation." Yet beneath that "misinformation," rhetoric lies the oldest weapon of tyrants: fear.

Xiangsui published Unrestricted Warfare in 1999, Why would the CCP care about speech in in the West grasped its prophetic America? Because the American example—of significance. The authors declared that future citizens questioning authority, debating truth, exposing corruption—threatens battlefields or bullets but would encompass legitimacy of totalitarian power everywhere. If finance, law, information, and even culture itself. the world sees that free men and women can "Using all means," they wrote, "including armed hold their government accountable, China's

compel the enemy to accept one's interests." leaders label dissenting parents as "domestic That doctrine—total warfare across every terrorists," or when corporate media smear election delegitimize through law.

Consider how lawfare has been deployed against American parents who dared to confront radical assumed that school policies, or against figures such as Mike "warfare" meant armies on the march. But Lindell, who has spent years challenging election rejected that notion irregularities. These citizens are not foreign fathers, are crash, a single computer virus invasion, or a entrepreneurs, and patriots. Yet they have been single rumor or scandal...all can be included in surveilled, subpoenaed, and slandered for the

The CCP and the American Left could not script In that worldview, information itself becomes a it better. What better way to weaken America

Why the CCP Fears American Speech

depend on narrative control, both inside its Beijing's leaders know that truth is contagious. borders and throughout the West. Every lawsuit When Americans speak freely about the genocide that chills dissent, every algorithm that buries in Xinjiang, slave labor in Chinese factories, or inconvenient facts, every "fact-check" that CCP infiltration of American universities and shames independent voices advances the same corporations, it undermines the Party's image of objective: to condition Americans to silence control. "Media power," wrote Qiao and Wang, "is likely to become an ever more powerful weapon

Today, that "weapon" is turned inward in the coercion in the language of "safety," "equity," or United States. Under the pretext of fighting social-media throttle dissenting voices, while bureaucrats

coordinate censorship through covert "disinformation" task forces. The result: the same pattern of suppression the CCP perfected—only now adopted by Western institutions.

By encouraging censorship through proxies—tech platforms, academia, or courts—the CCP, aided by extreme-left politicians achieves by influence what it could never achieve by invasion. The fewer Americans who dare to speak, the fewer who will stand.

Lawfare as Imported Censorship

Strategic lawsuits, selective prosecutions, and weaponized regulations don't merely silence individuals; they set dangerous precedents. Once the West normalizes the suppression of speech under the banners of "hate speech" or "election denial," China gains both ideological and tactical advantage.

Qiao and Wang observed that "foundations control the media, control subsidies to political organizations, and limit any resistance from the authorities," dubbing this "foundation-style financial war." Replace "foundations" with "Big Tech" or "fact-checking NGOs," and the resemblance is chilling.

Lawfare, as practiced in America today, is an imported tool of authoritarian governance. It weaponizes the rule of law against the people it was meant to protect. The CCP and the American Left don't need to censor every American voice; they only need to make examples of a few until the rest comply.

A Cultural and Moral Offensive

The erosion of free speech is more than a political crisis—it's a civilizational collapse. America's founders rooted liberty in the Judeo-Christian conviction that truth is objective and conscience is sacred. The CCP, steeped in Marxist materialism, views speech not as a moral act but as a mechanism of control.

"When we suddenly realize that all these nonwar actions may be the new factors constituting future warfare," the Chinese colonels wrote, "we have to come up with a new name for this new form of war: unrestricted warfare." That vision has quietly infiltrated our own institutions. When American citizens are censored, raided, or jailed for peaceful protest; when parents are labeled radicals for defending their children; when political candidates face gag orders for challenging the establishment—we are witnessing the domestic face of that global doctrine.

This "shadow war" may not yet fill our streets with soldiers, but it fills our courts with plaintiffs, our jails with political prisoners, and our airwaves with propaganda. Violence now wears the mask of legality. But, as Sophie Scholl wrote, "the law changes; conscience doesn't."

James Wilson—signer of both the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution, and one of America's first Supreme Court Justices—warned that law and liberty are inseparable. In his Lectures on Law (1790–1791), he wrote, "Without liberty, law loses its nature and its name, and becomes oppression." Wilson taught that when government abandons the moral foundation of liberty, law itself becomes a weapon of tyranny—and when government weaponizes the law to crush dissent, it ceases to be law at all.

The Psychological Terrain of Silence

The CCP's war on free speech is psychological as much as political. The weapon is fear—fear of losing one's job, reputation, or freedom for voicing the truth.

Victory, as Unrestricted Warfare implies, is not achieved by destroying an enemy's army but by capturing his mind. When journalists self-censor, when citizens retreat from civic life, when neighbors whisper instead of speak, the battle has already been won.

Every silenced parent, every "de-platformed" patriot, every imprisoned truth-teller represents another foothold for tyranny. The tools differ—lawsuits, raids, arrests—but the pattern is unmistakable: submission without bullets. As Sun Tzu wrote, "The supreme art of war is to subdue

Next Page>

the enemy without fighting." But as we saw with the assassination of Charlie Kirk, if censorship and intimidation don't work, violence is still on the table. "If we wish to fight, the enemy can be forced to an engagement," Tzu added in the same chapter of The Art of War. And because so many patriots have refused to be silenced, there seems to be an effort by the left to provoke Americans into violent conflict—it's a trap we must steer clear of if at all possible.

Unrestricted Warfare puts it in chilling terms: "using all means, including armed force or non-armed force, military and non-military, and lethal and non-lethal means to compel the enemy to accept one's interests."

The Geopolitical Stakes

Free speech is not only a constitutional right; it is a strategic defense system. It is the firewall that protects truth from propaganda and our republic from despotism.

A nation that criminalizes dissent cannot expose foreign influence. A press that fears lawsuits cannot investigate corruption. A people who fear speaking cannot resist enslavement.

The CCP understands this. Its rise depends on America's retreat—from courage, from conviction, and from truth.

Restoring the Voice of a Free People

The antidote to disinformation is not censorship—it is more speech, more sunlight, and more courage. Legislatures must strengthen anti–SLAPP protections to deter malicious lawsuits. Technology platforms—which are the de facto public square—must face repercussions for not upholding transparency and neutrality. But above all, Americans must reclaim moral courage—the willingness to speak when silence is safer.


Every act of courage weakens tyranny. Every voice raised in truth restores the Republic.

The Quiet War for the Human Mind

Unrestricted Warfare is a military manual—a blueprint for conquest through chaos. The CCP knows that whoever controls the narrative controls reality.

Today, lawfare and censorship are not merely political tactics—they are acts of war against the human spirit. If we wish to preserve this Republic, we must defend free speech with the same resolve our forebears defended their homes—with faith, courage, and the conviction that freedom of thought is the first and final battlefield of civilization.





DOES CANCEL CULTURE HAVE AN EXPIRATION DATE?

BUY NOW

Chapter 69:



ancel culture is devouring American culture from all directions. It'a not just statues of dead white men that are getting toppled, defaced, beheaded and dragged into lakes anymore.

They burned the Virgin Mary near Boston and toppled an abolitionist in Wisconsin. They came for Goya foods. Cancel culture came for the Washington Redskins and scalped them. It didn't matter that the man who designed their logo was Native American...Cancel warriors wanted it canceled. It's canceled...

You can never be pure enough to keep the wokes at bay. Wokes are even turning on each other now... You will never be pure enough for them. Bend the knee to them today and they will come back for more tomorrow.

ARTIFICAL INTELLIGENCE HUNTS FOR WHITE PEOPLE January

BUY NOW

January Privilege: Section 7



"W

oke people are bad enough. Woke Al is worse because—like the Terminator—it can't be bargained with. It can't be reasoned with. It doesn't feel pity, or remorse, or fear.



Kinda like the DMV—except writ large (and written into code) courtesy of a Biden executive order that all federal agencies use AI as part of an "equity action plan" to be enforced by woke machines rather than woke people.

The decree is called "Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government."... "Equity" is not for white people, especially if they are also male and heterosexual. This is a community that will not be served..."